



EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS  
COUR EUROPÉENNE DES DROITS DE L'HOMME

## FOURTH SECTION

### DECISION

Application no. 32251/12  
SKIZB MEDIA KENTRON LTD against Armenia  
and 2 other applications  
(see appended table)

The European Court of Human Rights (Fourth Section), sitting on 10 March 2022 as a Committee composed of:

Jolien Schukking, *President*,

Armen Harutyunyan,

Ana Maria Guerra Martins, *judges*,

and Viktoriya Maradudina, *Acting Deputy Section Registrar*,

Having regard to the above applications lodged on the various dates indicated in the appended table,

Having deliberated, decides as follows:

### FACTS AND PROCEDURE

The applicant company, Skizb Media Kentron, is a limited liability company with its registered office in Yerevan. It was represented by Mr N. Baghdasaryan, a lawyer practising in Yerevan.

The applicant company's complaints under Article 10 of the Convention concerning the alleged breaches of its right to freedom of expression were communicated to the Armenian Government ("the Government"), who submitted observations on the admissibility and merits. The observations were forwarded to the applicant company, who was invited to submit its own observations. No reply was received to the Registry's letters.

By letters dated 11 January 2021, sent by registered post, the applicant company was notified that the period allowed for submission of its observations had expired on 29 May 2017, in respect of application nos. 32251/12 and 71470/12, and 6 July 2017, in respect of application no. 12890/13, and that no extension of time had been requested. The applicant company's attention was drawn to Article 37 § 1 (a) of the Convention, which provides that the Court may strike an application out of its list of cases where the circumstances lead to the conclusion that the

applicant does not intend to pursue the application. The applicant company's lawyer received these letters on 17 February 2021. However, no response has followed.

The applicant company or its lawyer have not contacted the Court since 2013.

## THE LAW

Having regard to the similar subject matter of the applications, the Court finds it appropriate to examine them jointly in a single decision.

In the light of the foregoing, and in the absence of any special circumstances regarding respect for the rights guaranteed by the Convention and the Protocols thereto, the Court, in accordance with Article 37 § 1 (a) of the Convention, considers that it is no longer justified to continue the examination of the applications.

Accordingly, the cases should be struck out of the list.

For these reasons, the Court, unanimously,

*Decides* to join the applications;

*Decides* to strike the applications out of its list of cases.

Done in English and notified in writing on 31 March 2022.

Viktoriya Maradudina  
Acting Deputy Registrar

Jolien Schukking  
President

SKIZB MEDIA KENTRON LTD v. ARMENIA AND OTHER APPLICATIONS DECISION

APPENDIX

List of applications raising complaints under Article 10 of the Convention

No.	Application no.	Case name	Lodged on	Applicant Place of Residence	Represented by
1.	32251/12	Skizb Media Kentron Ltd v. Armenia	18/05/2012	<b>SKIZB MEDIA KENTRON LTD</b> <b>Yerevan</b>	Nikolay BAGHDASARYAN
2.	71470/12	Skizb Media Kentron Ltd v. Armenia	27/10/2012		
3.	12890/13	Skizb Media Kentron Ltd v. Armenia	31/01/2013		